



# 319/Clean Water Partnership/ Total Maximum Daily Loads Semi-Annual Report for Reporting Year 2013

Reporting Period:  January 1 through June 30, 2013 (Due August 1, 2013)  
 July 1 through December 31, 2013 (Due February 1, 2014)

All information is required by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Do not leave blanks. This report form can be typed using your computer. Use the "tab" key to move through the fields of this form. Enter responses using text and check boxes as indicated. Keep a copy for your records.

<b>I. General Report Information</b>			
1.	Project Title:	<b>Redwood River Watershed Nonpoint Pollution Reduction Project</b>	
2.	Project Sponsor:	<b>Redwood-Cottonwood Rivers Control Area (RCRCA)</b>	
3.	Project Representative:	<b>Douglas A. Goodrich, Director, RCRCA</b>	
4.	Email Address:	Douglas.goodrich@racgroup.net	
5.	Funding:	<b>Section 319 Federal Funds</b>	
6.	Contract/Loan Number:	<b>CFMS #B42179 – SRF 163- SRF 168</b>	PRJ Number: <b>PRJ #07121</b>
7.	MPCA Project Manager:	<b>Mark Hanson</b>	
8.	Contract Start Date:	<b>10/01/2009</b>	Contract End Date: <b>08/29/2014</b>
9.	Best Management Practice (BMP) Name (Refer to BMP List):	<b>Stream Bank and Shoreline Protection, Grassed Waterway, Water and Sediment Control Basin, Subsurface Drain, Onsite Wastewater Treatment System</b>	
10.	<b>319/Clean Water Partnership (CWP) only - Nonpoint Source (NPS) Category (Refer to NPS Definition of Categories):</b>		
		<b>Primary</b>	<b>Secondary</b>
	<b>Category</b>	<b>Agriculture</b>	<b>Non-Irrigated Crop Production</b>
			<b>Others</b>
			<b>Channelization</b>
11.	<b>319/CWP only - NPS Functional Category (Refer to NPS Definition of Categories):</b>		
		<b>Primary</b>	<b>Secondary</b>
	<b>Category</b>	<b>BMP Design/Implementation</b>	<b>Technical Assistance</b>
			<b>Others</b>
			<b>Effectiveness Monitoring</b>
12.	Waterbody type (refer to NPS Waterbody Type):	<b>Rivers and Streams</b>	
13.	<b>319/ CWP only:</b> Type of pollutant(s) addressed (refer to NPS Pollutants):	<b>Excess Nutrients, Sediment, Pathogens (E.Coli)</b>	
14.	Ecoregion (refer to NPS Ecoregion):	<b>Western Corn Belt Plains</b>	
15.	Hydrologic unit code (12 digits):	<b>07020006(0000-9999)</b>	Latitude-longitude: <b>LAT. 44o31'25"; LONG. 95o10'20"</b>
16.	Basin name (check all that apply): <b>Redwood River Watershed</b>		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Lake Superior <input type="checkbox"/> Lower Mississippi/Cedar <input type="checkbox"/> Upper Mississippi <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minnesota <input type="checkbox"/> Rainy <input type="checkbox"/> Red River <input type="checkbox"/> Des Moines <input type="checkbox"/> Missouri		

## II. Project Description

1. Project Description Summary (taken from work plan summary) – Include at least two paragraphs that briefly summarize the project scope, the processes and the events that occurred **before** this reporting period.
 

*The Redwood River Watershed includes portions of Redwood, Lyon, Yellow Medicine, Murray, Lincoln, and Pipestone Counties in Minnesota and is one of the tributaries of the Minnesota River in the Minnesota River Basin. The headwaters of the Redwood River main stem begin in the Northern Glaciated Ecoregion on top of the Coteau des Prairies, an impressive morainal plateau and important drainage divide. The Redwood River wanders around the corners of Lincoln, Pipestone, Murray, and Lyon counties as a temporary stream, then flows northeast toward the slope of the Coteau, characterized by landscapes with long northeast facing slopes of moderate steepness, the majority of the Coteau (72%) is classified as having moderate to high water erosion potential. Between Russell and Marshall, the Redwood drops off the Coteau and falls nearly 300 feet over a span of approximately fifteen miles. At Marshall, the Redwood River enters the lowlands of the Blue Earth Till Plain. The gradient of the river along this reach is only two to three feet per mile. Soils are predominantly loamy within the till plain and landscapes having a complex mixture of well drained and poorly drained soils. Water erosion potentials are moderate on the majority of these lands.*

*Currently the goals and objectives that are presented in the 1992 Diagnostic Report and Implementation Plan are as relevant today as they were when the report was published. Long term monitoring has identified encouraging trends with the restoration that has taken place, but with Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Clean Water Act Section 303(d) listings, of which twelve TMDL impairments are listed in the Redwood River watershed for bacteria and turbidity, the work is not done. The purpose of this project is to facilitate watershed land-use changes within these watersheds that will lead to reductions necessary to meet goals set forth in the Lower Minnesota River Dissolved Oxygen TMDL. Implementing groundwater infiltration and phosphorus reducing conservation practices through new funded best management practices (BMP's) will help achieve reductions outlined in the Lower Minnesota River Dissolved Oxygen TMDL Implementation Plan and the Redwood River Clean Water Project implementation plan.*

*The Redwood River Clean Water Project is administered by the Redwood-Cottonwood Rivers Control Area (RCRCA). RCRCA, established in 1983, is a Joint Powers Organization of eight counties and their Soil and Water Conservation Districts. For additional information, go to our website at [www.rcrca.com](http://www.rcrca.com). RCRCA has a proven history backed with an extensive database, long term monitoring program and an organizational structure that remains supportive and flexible that insures projects such as the Redwood River Clean Water Project and the Cottonwood River Restoration Project are successful. This success can be viewed in the 2001 Final Report "Evolution of Watershed Restoration" (included and at [www.rcrca.com](http://www.rcrca.com)).*

*The sample period Total Suspended Solids (TSS) loading from the Redwood River in 2008 was estimated at 28,157 tons per year, or 44.77 tons per square mile. Total phosphorus (TP) was estimated at 89.21 tons per sample period. Additionally, the highest flow-weighted mean concentrations (FWMC's) of total suspended solids and total phosphorus of all sampling stations were found on the main stem at site RRI where cumulative FWMC's of 106.00 mg/L for 2008. Throughout the study period, FWMC's of and nutrients on the main stem and most tributaries exceeded expected values for minimally impacted ecoregion streams.*

*Annual FLUX estimates from the Redwood River sampling site above Lake Redwood showed a total phosphorus delivery of 115.26 tons annually to the Minnesota River. This is equal to .18 tons per square mile loss of phosphorus included with 110.7 tons per square mile loss of suspended solids. This is directly related to the turbidity impairment and contributes to the Minnesota River phosphorus loading (See <http://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/tmdl.html>).*

*Recreational opportunities on the Redwood River are limited by degraded water quality, channel obstructions, limited access, and a reservoir (Lake Redwood) that is full of suspended solids and in need of reclamation (increase capacity) for hydro electric power generation. Potentially, the river is a major recreational resource including the reservoir for fishing and boating.*

*Long-term monitoring has identified encouraging trends with the restoration that has taken place, but the new TMDL impaired reach designations show that the work is not finished. With the TMDL plan approved on the lower Minnesota River for phosphorus reduction, it is important to continue the implementation of BMPs that will reduce the total phosphorus contribution from the Redwood River Major Watershed and work to de-list the lower Minnesota River phosphorus TMDL impairment.*
2. Specific Project Goals – Include numeric, quantifiable goals for environmental improvement, the number of Best Management Practices to be installed, **pollutant reductions** as well as programmatic and social goals.
 

*The goal of this project is to continue best management implementation according to the Redwood River Clean Water Project Phase I Diagnostic Study and Implementation Plan approved in 1992 and implement phosphorus reducing conservation practices that will help achieve the Lower Minnesota River Low Dissolved Oxygen TMDL. This 4 year work plan is projected to reduce phosphorus reaching the Minnesota River by ~~675.74~~ 1,081.11 pounds annually or ~~270,400.00~~*

~~432,444.00~~ pounds of aquatic plant growth annually (plus ~~350~~ 500 tons of suspended solids). This work plan will administer grant funds from 2010 and into 2014 to achieve the implementation goals through these objectives:

1. BMP and ISTS Implementation:

- Replace ~~7~~ 12 non-compliant (EMHT) ISTS systems ~~-\$55,056.83~~ \$90,600 Loan Match\*
- Provide ~~\$99,000.00~~ \$149,000.00 in cost share up to 75% installing BMPs in the watershed reducing ~~3,500~~ 5,811 pounds of phosphorus annually for an average life expectancy of 10 years (~~35,000~~ 58,111 pounds)
- Provide \$63,845.45 in technical assistance to install ISTS and BMPs watershed wide

\*These systems are accounted for from previous loans (SRF0163-0168)

Total Budget: ~~\$99,000.00~~ \$149,000.00 Grant; ~~\$143,652.28~~ \$191,695.45 Loan/Grant Match

2. Monitoring (Sampling Analysis)

- Provide \$24,150.00 in technical assistance and water quality evaluation
- Provide ~~\$9,000.00~~ \$15,000 in sample analysis of TSS, TP, TN, TSVS, Turbidity and Ecoli

Total Budget: ~~\$33,150.00~~ \$39,150.00 Grant

3. Administration:

- Provide ~~\$43,425.00~~ \$46,125.00 in grant facilitation and administration over 4 years by adhering to all grant agreement requirements, submitting semi-annual and annual reports, water quality modeling, outreach and final report generation, technical assistance and water quality monitoring alternate

Total Budget: ~~\$43,425.00~~ \$46,125.00 Grant

3. Methods to achieve goals:

The Redwood River as a result of the 19 years of continuous monitoring has been divided into priority areas that have been identified as contributing a disproportionate share of suspended solids and nutrients. With this prioritization, a ranking sheet has been developed to rank each project application to ensure that it will provide a substantial reduction of pollutants. Since 1994, the projects that have been implemented have been tracked by total cost of the project, the landowners' share of the cost, and the reductions achieved by each project. With this data, a matrix has been developed to estimate the total cost per pollutant reduction. This matrix is used to estimate the number of projects needed and the pollutant reductions that can be achieved. By implementing projects in priority areas selected by a long-term monitoring program and using implemented project information to estimate cost and effectiveness of each type of BMP, the project can ensure that the goals and objectives will be met and the efficiency and pollutant reduction benefits of each BMP will be maximized.

An established best management practice (BMP) tracking system will be used to measure BMP adoption rates within this project area. Information contained in this system will include records of initial contacts with landowners or operators; the status of each BMP from initial sign-up to construction; and the potential suspended solids and nutrient reduction obtained as a result of the BMP, using the BWSR/MPCA e-LINK program. This information will be entered into the watershed GIS system maintained by RCRCA.

Measures for Success:

- Number of installed new SSTS's and conservation BMPs on land
- Number of installed filter strips and buffer strips on land
- Number of acres of land enrolled in conservation programs
- Number of manure management plans developed and implemented
- Number of residents and officials who receive information on conservation practices available to landowners.
- Number of residents involved in volunteer water quality monitoring
- Comprehensive data set of water quality monitoring results
- Reduced levels of phosphorus found in waters of targeted areas

**III. Semi-annual Report Information**

1. Project activities completed during last six (6) months according to the program elements or tasks:  
Best Management Practices – Four contracts including 1,650 feet of grassed waterways and 2 water and sediment control basins.

2. Challenges faced (optional):

3. Summary of monitoring data collected:

4. Have all monitoring stations been established in STORET?  Yes  No  N/A

5. Is the data being routinely submitted for storage into STORET?  Yes  No Last **12/31/2012**

	submittal date:		
6.	Is the data being annually entered into E-Link? entered:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Date last entered: 12/12/2013
7.	Identify any significant <b>findings</b> and <b>results</b> of the project to date, as well as any unanticipated findings:		
8.	Describe specific (quantifiable, if possible) results achieved during this period:		<b>See Question 1.</b>
8a.	Sediment Load Reduction	66.09 tons/yr	
	Phosphorus Load Reduction:	66.09 lbs./yr	
	Nitrogen Load Reduction:	lbs./yr	
9.	Summarize any work plan changes: <i>-TOTAL ADDITIONAL GRANT REQUEST: \$58,700.00 - Notes: BMPs \$50,000.00 (Grant) (1B); Monitoring \$6,000.00 (Grant) (2A); DIR \$2,700.00 (Grant) (3); Landowner Match-25% \$12,500.00 (MATCH) (1B); Septic Loan \$35,527.27 (MATCH) (1C) - Funds would be spent pursuant to the work plan, essentially an amendment for funds. – (Spring of 2011)</i> <i>-The grant was “reset” to the original work plan values and will need to be amended to facilitate the funds (Fall of 2011)</i> <i>-Or maybe not; work is being done to retain the original amended funds as of June, 2012</i> <i>-Funds were reset to reflect those in the 1<sup>st</sup> amended contract in the spring of 2011 (Fall 2012)</i>		
10.	List anticipated activities for next six (6) months: <i>Over the past 6 months, BMP funds have been encumbered for projects to be constructed. We will work to install the encumbered projects, promote and advertise available services and funds, and meeting reporting requirements to all agencies involved and finish off this project.</i>		
11.	List all products (documents, pamphlets, videos, maps, etc.) produced in this reporting period: BMP contracts, watershed monitoring graphics and charts, data spreadsheets BMP contract maps, among many products.		

#### IV. Expenditure Information for this Period

CWP: Provide a copy of the Expenditure Report with cumulative expenditures and this period's expenditures budget balances by work plan program element. The format for the Semi-Annual Expenditure Report is available on the Web at: <a href="http://www.pca.state.mn.us/publications/wq-cwp7-09.xls">http://www.pca.state.mn.us/publications/wq-cwp7-09.xls</a> .	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expenditure Report attached	
CWP, 319, and TMDL - Complete the table below:	<b>Amount</b>
Total Grant Amount:	\$234,275.00
Total Match Amount (if applicable)	\$191,695.45
<b>Total Project Amount:</b>	<b>\$425,970.45</b>
Cumulative Grant Expenditures through this period:	\$193,889.50
Cumulative Match Expenditures through this period:	\$191,550.85
<b>Total Cumulative Expenditures through this period:</b>	<b>\$385,440.35</b>
Date form completed:	01/30/2014
Please submit to:	Your project manager <b>Mark Hanson</b>

PROJECT TITLE: Redwood River Watershed Nonpoint Pollution Reduction Project B42179  
 WORK PLAN BUDGET/EXPENDITURES AS OF: December 31, 2013 - [Request Voucher #15](#)

<b>Objectives</b>	unit cost	unit	Quantity Exp/budget	Local Match Budgeted	Grant Cash Budgeted	Total Budgeted	Cumulative Local Match Expended	Cumulative Grant Cash Expended	Cumulative Total Expended	Local Match Budget Balance	Grant Cash Budget Balance	Total Budget Balance	Amount Requested this Voucher	Amount Previously Requested
<b>Objective 1) BMP Technical Assistance and Implementation</b>						\$0.00			\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<b>Task A: BWSR ADMINISTERED</b> Match monies for technical and implementation activities relating to BMP funding (Obj. 1; Task B) Implement cost share through planning, design, survey, and technical oversight of projects in priority areas	\$26.00	2455.59 hrs	\$63,845.45	\$63,845.45		\$63,845.45	\$64,230.75		\$64,230.75	-\$385.30	\$0.00	-\$385.30	\$0.00	
<b>Task B:</b> BMP cost sharing, prioritization and ranking.			\$186,250.00	\$37,250.00	\$149,000.00	\$186,250.00	\$36,720.10	\$113,507.44	\$150,227.54	\$529.90	\$35,492.56	\$36,022.46	\$6,620.44	\$106,887.00
<b>Task C:</b> Promote and Implement MPCA low interest loan program	\$7,550.00	12.00	\$90,600.00	\$90,600.00		\$90,600.00	\$90,600.00		\$90,600.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<b>Total Objective 1</b>			\$340,695.45	\$191,695.45	\$149,000.00	\$340,695.45	\$191,550.85	\$113,507.44	\$305,058.29	\$144.60	\$35,492.56	\$35,637.16	\$6,620.44	\$106,887.00
<b>Objective 2) –Maintain Continuous Water Quality Monitoring</b>						\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
<b>Task A:</b> Water Quality Tech. Asst.- Annually re-establish sites and collect water quality samples according to the Cottonwood River Restoration Project QAPP (on file with MPCA)	\$23.00	1050 hrs	\$24,150.00		\$24,150.00	\$24,150.00		\$24,265.55	\$24,265.55	\$0.00	-\$115.55	-\$115.55	\$1,201.02	\$23,064.53
<b>Task B:</b> Monitoring Analysis	\$5,000.00	3yrs	\$15,000.00		\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00		\$12,798.40	\$12,798.40	\$0.00	\$2,201.60	\$2,201.60	\$306.40	\$12,492.00
<b>Total Objective 2</b>			\$39,150.00	\$0.00	\$39,150.00	\$39,150.00	\$0.00	\$37,063.95	\$37,063.95	\$0.00	\$2,086.05	\$2,086.05	\$1,507.42	\$35,556.53
<b>Objective 3) –Grant Administration and Facilitation</b>						\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
RCRCA Executive Director	\$28.00/hr	696.42 hrs	\$19,500.00		\$19,500.00	\$19,500.00		\$19,500.00	\$19,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19,500.00
RCRCA Support Staff	\$21.00/hr	625 hrs	\$13,125.00		\$13,125.00	\$13,125.00		\$13,125.00	\$13,125.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,883.76	\$11,241.24
Office Supplies	\$1500/yr	3 yr.	\$4,500.00		\$4,500.00	\$4,500.00		\$1,693.11	\$1,693.11	\$0.00	\$2,806.89	\$2,806.89	\$1,131.02	\$562.09
Mileage/reent/expenses/professional servces	\$3000/yr	3 yr.	\$9,000.00		\$9,000.00	\$9,000.00		\$9,000.00	\$9,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$938.65	\$8,061.35
<b>Total Objective 3</b>			\$46,125.00	\$0.00	\$46,125.00	\$46,125.00	\$0.00	\$43,318.11	\$43,318.11	\$0.00	\$2,806.89	\$2,806.89	\$3,953.43	\$39,364.68
<b>ITEMIZED PROGRAM ELEMENT BUDGET</b>														
<b>Total Element 1</b>			\$340,695.45	\$191,695.45	\$149,000.00	\$340,695.45	\$191,550.85	\$113,507.44	\$305,058.29	\$144.60	\$35,492.56	\$35,637.16	\$6,620.44	\$106,887.00
<b>Total Element 2</b>			\$39,150.00	\$0.00	\$39,150.00	\$39,150.00	\$0.00	\$37,063.95	\$37,063.95	\$0.00	\$2,086.05	\$2,086.05	\$1,507.42	\$35,556.53
<b>Total Element 3</b>			\$46,125.00	\$0.00	\$46,125.00	\$46,125.00	\$0.00	\$43,318.11	\$43,318.11	\$0.00	\$2,806.89	\$2,806.89	\$3,953.43	\$39,364.68
<b>Project Grand Total</b>				\$191,695.45	\$234,275.00	\$425,970.45	\$191,550.85	\$193,889.50	\$385,440.35	\$144.60	\$40,385.50	\$40,530.10	\$12,081.29	\$181,808.21

# BMP Cost Share Tracking as of December, 2013

**GRANT:** B42179 "Redwood 4" **Grant Expire**  
**SPOKEN FOR/NOT**  
**SPENT:** \$ 9,257.13 **8/31/2014**  
**SPENT:** \$ 113,507.42 **Grant Value**  
**LEFT TO SPEND:** \$ 26,235.45 **\$149,000.00**

county	wtrshd_name	grant_id	cont_num	coop_l_name	coop_f_name	city	state	zip	twshp_name	sec	t_r_s	ws_id	init_date	actual_cost	final_pay	final_pay_date	bmp	num_install	elink_soil	elink_sed	elink_phos
Lincoln	Redwood	B42179	RP319-14-03 (01-04)	Possail	Ila	Tyler	MN	56178	Lake Stay	26	T111 R44 S26	27001	1/22/2007	\$ 7,454.00	\$ 5,590.00	12/10/2011	638	1	15.8	15.8	15.8
Lincoln	Redwood	B42179	RP319-18-03 (03-04)	Nibbe	John	Lake Benton	MN	56149	Lake Benton	35	T109 R45 S35	27007	7/20/2009	\$ 9,000.00	\$ 6,750.00	5/17/2010	638	3	27.3	27.3	27.3
Lincoln	Redwood	B42179	RP319-18-03 (03-04)	Nibbe	John	Lake Benton	MN	56149	Lake Benton	35	T109 R45 S35	27007	11/16/2009	\$ 10,329.75	\$ 7,747.31	5/17/2010	638				
Redwood	Redwood	B42179	RP319-21-03 (04-04)	Dolan	Jeremy	Vesta	MN	56292	Vesta	29	T112 R38 S29	27031	12/12/2009	\$ 1,040.00	\$ 780.00	5/17/2010	620	4	4	4	6.8
Redwood	Redwood	B42179	RP319-22-03 (05-04)	Dolan	Dallas	Vesta	MN	56292	Underwood	12	T112 R39 S12	27023	12/12/2009	\$ 520.00	\$ 390.00	5/17/2010	620	2	2	2	3.4
Redwood	Redwood	B42179	RP319-06-04	Rohlik	Neal	Vesta	MN	56292	Granite Rock	11	T111 R38 S11	27030	10/18/2010	\$ 8,015.00	\$ 6,011.25	12/11/2010	638	1	31.875	31.875	31.875
Lincoln	Redwood	B42179	RP319-08-04	Hansen	Axel	Ruthton	MN	56170	Hope	33	T109 R44 S33	27016	7/14/2011	\$ 6,070.00	\$ 4,552.50	11/8/2012	638	1	23	23	23
Lincoln	Redwood	B42179	RP319-08-04 amend	Hansen	Axel	Ruthton	MN	56170	Hope	33	T109 R44 S33	27016	10/4/2012	\$ 6,376.50	\$ 4,672.50	11/8/2012	638	2	23.5	23.5	23.5
Lyon	Redwood	B42179	RP319-11-04	Gruhot	Brian	Lynd	MN	56157	Lynd	33	T111 R42 S33	27043	10/6/2011	\$ 47,350.00	\$ 35,512.50	1/5/2012	580	1	340	340	340
Lyon	Redwood	B42179	RP319-12-04	McConnaughey	Jeff & Cheryl	Lynd	MN	56157	Lynd	33	T111 R42 S33	27043	10/6/2011	\$ 35,500.00	\$ 26,625.00	1/5/2012	580	1	191.25	191.25	191.25
Redwood	Redwood	B42179	RP319-16-04	Larson	Scott	Redwood Falls	MN	56283	Delhi	35	T113 R36 S35	27036	12/10/2011	\$ 3,175.00	\$ 2,250.00	2/2/2012	580	1	17	17	17
Murray	Redwood	B42179	RP319-17-04	Erickson	Paul	Balaton	MN	56115	Ellsborough	10	T108 R43 S10	27020	9/6/2012				638	3	171	171	196.65
Lincoln	Redwood	B42179	RP319-19-04 (09CWL319-32-01)	Weber	Joe	Lake Benton	MN	56149	Lake Diamond	30	T110 R45 S30	27005	12/8/2012	\$ 8,008.00	\$ 6,005.94	8/31/2013	412	0.5	17	17	17
Redwood	Redwood	B42179	RP319-20-04	Kreft	Hartwin	Vesta	MN	56292	Vesta	05	T112 R38 S05	27022	4/4/2013	\$ 3,075.41	\$ 2,306.56	10/3/2013	638	1	23.22	23.22	23.22
Redwood	Redwood	B42179	RP319-21-04	Kreft	Herbert	Vesta	MN	56292	Vesta	34	T112 R38 S34	27031	4/4/2013				638	1			
Lyon	Redwood	B42179	RP319-22-04 (FY13CWA-06-01)	Rokeh	Jason	Minneota	MN	56264	Nordland	23	T112 R43 S23	27049	6/6/2013	\$ 10,672.44	\$ 2,668.11	12/7/2013	412	0.333	5.47	5.47	5.47
Lincoln	Redwood	B42179	RP319-23-04 (FY13CWA-03-01)	Jorgensen	Franklin	Tyler	MN	56178	Hope	16	T109 R44 S26	27008	9/5/2013	\$ 6,583.00	\$ 1,645.75	11/7/2013	638	1	20.4	20.4	20.4